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## Case 1 – Prostatectomy: robotic vs. laparoscopic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DaVinci</th>
<th>Laparoscopic</th>
<th>Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs of surgery</strong></td>
<td>3,644</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>1,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wards related costs</strong></td>
<td>4,306</td>
<td>7,344</td>
<td>7,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per stay</strong></td>
<td>7,950</td>
<td>9,541</td>
<td>9,106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without 1 day ICU</th>
<th>With 1 day ICU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET MARGIN</strong></td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>1,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-1.711</strong></td>
<td>-448</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Caveat!: \( \varnothing \) LOS 6,24 (robotic) vs. 10,64 (lap.)
- Break even at \( \Delta \) LOS of 2,1 days
- Realistic \( \Delta \) LOS of 1 day leads to € 757 advantage for laparoscopic
- Wrong DRG incentive for 1 day ICU stay
Prostatectomy – Cost Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prostatectomy - Costs of Surgery</th>
<th>Prostatectomy - Ward Costs</th>
<th>Prostatectomy - Total Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DaVinci</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal costs</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>1,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material costs</td>
<td>1,540</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of instruments</td>
<td>1,226</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of surgery</td>
<td>3,644</td>
<td>2,197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarkable:
- Break even at Δ LOS of 2,1 days
- Watch ICU effect! Wrong DRG incentive!
Case 2 – 24/7 SRT-Service for Stroke

• Stent-retriever thrombectomy (SRT) is a highly effective treatment for ischemic stroke (good life vs. death/handicap)
• Roughly 7-10% of stroke admissions are eligible for SRT
• About 250-300 strokes eligible in Vienna (2 mio. population)
Case 2 – 24/7 SRT-Service: Problem

- Receiving SRT-treatment in Vienna was a matter of luck with about a 50% chance → pretty **bad outcome**!
- SRT paid by health insurance (~ € 10,000/pat.) → **good value**
- Need for additional staff, but hospitals´ budget is capped
- Annual disability pension paid by pension insurance, permanent care by pension insurance and family, hospitalization and drugs by health insurance, lost income by the patient → **bad value**
Case 2 – 24/7 SRT-Service: Solution

• In 2017, Vienna introduced a coordinated 24/7 SRT service
Results:
• In 2018 83 % more SRTs in our hospital (yoy) → 38 more patients by year end (forecast 84 vs. 46); ~ +130 patients in Vienna → good outcome!
• Additional cost for health insurance ~ € 1,3 mio.
• Saved cost for pension insurance ~ € 2,6 mio. annually, low or no hospitalization cost, low drug cost, no family expenses, low or no income loss for the patients → good value!

Why did it take years to implement a 24/7 service?
Case 3 - Chemo – patient interest vs. wrong incentives

While option 2 is best for patients and most cost effective, option 1 would be most attractive for service providers. Most popular is option 3, which is worst for tax payers.
Learnings

- Payers/Authorities need to understand the value chain
- The point of service must not determine the price
- The point of service must not create conflicts of interest
- Outcome perspective and cost perspective are equally important to understand the value for the patient
- Efficiency is not the enemy but the best friend of good service and outcome!
From learnings to action: the Value Framework

1. Define outcome goals
2. Understand Patient Process (cross service providers)
3. Measure costs and value contribution of each process step
4. Convert data to information
5. Change culture (especially in non-profit organisations):
   - Align goals of players in the system
   - Understand that efficiency is key to creating good outcomes
   - Close loopholes for “systems arbitrage”
Thank you for staying till the end!

Any questions, comments?

Happy to discuss now or on a 1-1 basis at a scheduled time.
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